Received: April 13, 1988; accepted: October 20, 1988

REACTION OF PHOSPHORUS PENTACHLORIDE WITH PERHALO CARBONYL-CONTAINING COMPOUNDS*

LOOMIS S. CHEN and GRACE J. CHEN

University of Dayton Research Institute, Dayton, Ohio 45469 (U.S.A.)

SUMMARY

The reaction between PCl_5 and the carbonyl oxygen in a variety of perfluoro compounds containing the carbonyl functional group, e.g. $R_fC(0)R_f^i$, $R_fC(0)X$ or $XC(0)R_fC(0)X$, where X = Cl, F, OH, NH₂, and ONH₄ has led to a convenient synthesis procedure for fluorochloro compounds. Monoketones, e.g. $R_fC(0)R_f^i$, yield $R_fCCl_2R_f^i$ compounds, while the diketones, e.g. $R_fC(0)(CF_2)_nC(0)R_f^i$, also react to yield the fluorochloro compounds. When n = 3, $R_fCCl_2(CF_2)_3CCl_2R_f^i$ is produced in guite high yields (65 percent); however, when n = 2, the principal product is a cyclic fluorodichloroether compound while the fluorotetrachloro compound is the minor product. The $R_fC(0)X$ and $XC(0)R_fC(0)X$ compounds produce R_fCCl_3 and $Cl_3CR_fCCl_3$ respectively in high yields. Reaction temperature and pressure are important factors for high yield synthesis.

INTRODUCTION

The reaction of PCl_5 with hydrocarbon ketones or aldehydes will produce gem-dichlorohydrocarbon compounds in high yield. As an example, norcamphor dichloride has been prepared in 92 percent yield by the action of norcamphor with PCl_5 at room temperature for one day [1]. One might expect that the reaction between PCl_5 and perfluoro carbonyl-containing compounds should be more difficult

^{*}Presented at the American Chemical Society 194th National Meeting, Aug. 30 - Sept. 4, 1987, New Orleans, LA, Division of Fluorine Chemistry, paper number 19.

than that of hydrocarbon ketones due to electronic and/or steric effects of the perfluoroalkyl group. For example, in earlier studies Farah and Gilbert [2] reported the reaction of perhalo-acetones with PCl₅ to yield the corresponding dichlorides

$$x_{3}^{0} \text{cccx}_{3} + \text{Pcl}_{5} \xrightarrow{275-300^{\circ}\text{C}} x_{3}^{\circ} \text{cccl}_{2}^{\circ} \text{cx}_{3} \quad x = \text{F,Cl}$$
(1)

 $F_3CCCl_2CF_3$ (31 percent), $F_3CCCl_2CF_2Cl$ (50 percent), $ClF_2CCCl_2CCF_2Cl$ (61 percent), $ClF_2CCCl_2CFCl_2$ (48 percent), $Cl_2FCCCl_2CFCl_2$ (62 percent) and $Cl_3CCCl_2CCl_3$ (85 percent). From these results it can be seen that perfluoroacetone is more difficult to chlorinate by PCl_5 .

In our current studies we have re-examined in more detail the previously reported [2] reactions between PCl₅ and perhalo carbonyl-containing compounds with the objective of providing an improved synthesis procedure of the geminal dichloro and terminal trichloro perfluoroalkanes.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The mechanism of the reaction between phosphorus pentachloride with hydrocarbon ketones [3,4] or perhaloacetones at 275-300°C [2] has been suggested to proceed according to the reactions shown in Scheme 1.



Scheme 1

When R and R' are strong electron withdrawing groups, the electron density at the carbonyl oxygen is reduced which subsequently inhibits the attack by a chlorophosphonium ion, PCl_4^+ . In addition the leaving ability of the $POCl_3$ would be reduced. For this reason the perfluoroalkyl ketones are not readily chlorinated by PCl_5 using experimental conditions for hydrocarbon ketones.

In our present study we have examined in detail the chlori- 0 1nation of $R_f C C R_f^{t}$ where $R = C F_3$, $R' = C_6 F_{13}$. The reactions were carried out under various experimental conditions of temperature,

$$cF_{3}cC_{6}F_{13} + PCl_{5} \xrightarrow{\Delta} cF_{3}cCl_{2}C_{6}F_{13} + POCl_{3}$$
 (2)

time and concentration of reactants. Table 1 is a summation of the yield of the reaction product, $CF_3CCl_2C_6F_{13}$, found based on variations of the above mentioned experimental conditions.

Temperature Effect

From the experimental results (see Table 1, exp. 1, 2, and 3), it can be seen that the $CF_3CCl_2C_6F_{13}$ gave an extremely low yield at 150°C for 3 h. At 250°C the yield of 2,2-dichloroperfluorooctane increased to 25 percent. Increasing the reaction temperature however also increased the amount of decomposition products. At 300°C the products of decomposition increased to 36 percent. The structures of these compounds were identified by GC/MS as CF_3CCl_3 , C_2Cl_4 , $C_6F_{13}CCl_3$ and C_2Cl_6 . A reaction temperature between 250 and 275°C gave the highest yields of the dichloroperfluoro compound.

Time Effect

At 250°C and 120 psi (see Table 1, exp. 2, 4, and 5), the chlorination of $CF_3C(0)C_6F_{13}$ by PCl_5 was time dependent. For

TABLE 1

Reaction of $CF_3C(0)C_6F_{13}$ with PCI_5

			Condition	1S	Con	centrat	ions ^a		GC Area %	
		Time	Temp.	Pressure	٦	(mole/li	ter)	Unreacted	Products	
Exper	iment	(day)	(0 ⁰)	(psi) ^d	[Y]	x [B] -	= Product	$cF_{3}c(0)c_{6}F_{13}$	$cF_3cc1_2c_6F_{13}$	others ^e
	Ч	Зħ	150 ^b	70	0.17	0.96	0.16	86	trace	ł
	5	3ћ	250 ^b	120	0.17	0.96	0.16	62	25	e
	e	Зh	300 ^b	180	0.17	0.96	0.16	33	27	36
	4	7	250 ^b	120	0.17	0.96	0.16	35	55	8
	ъ С	٣	250 ^b	120	0.17	0.96	0.16	24	65	6
	9	7	250 [°]	60	0.06	0.37	0.02	95	ю	trace
	2	3	250 ^C	150	0.12	1.71	0.21	29	67	3
	8	2	250 ^C	250	0.21	2.57	0.54	17	80	trace
·	6	7	250 ^C	260	0.26	2.67	0.69	ы	92	trace
a[A]	and [B]	repre	sent the	concentra	ations	of CF ₃ C	2(0) C ₆ F ₁₃	and PC1 ₅ , resp	ectively.	

. LE 3 - 1 - 1 - 6 I 3 רכווכפוורבס [B] repr

 $^{\rm b}$ In 20 ml reaction vessel, accuracy, \pm 20 $^{\rm o}$ C.

^CIn 450 ml reaction vessel, accuracy, $\pm 5^{\circ}$ C.

 d^{d} Reaction pressure of the vessel, psi = pounds per square inch.

^eThe structures of the compounds were determined by GC/MS, they included CF_3CCl_3 , C_2Cl_4 , $c_6F_{13}cc1_3$ and c_2c1_6 . 3 h, 2 and 3 days reaction times, the yields of 2,2-dichloroperfluorooctane were 25, 55, and 65 percent, respectively. After three days the rate of chlorination was extremely slow.

Concentration/Pressure Effect

The reactants, $CF_3C(0)C_6F_{13}$ and PCl_5 , and products $CF_3CCl_2C_6F_{13}$ and $POcl_3$, are in the gas phase at $\geq 250^{\circ}C$ (see Equation 2). The rate of formation of $CF_3CCl_2C_6F_{13}$ is found to obey the rate equation as follows: $d[CF_3CCl_2C_6F_{13}]/dt =$ $k[CF_3C(0)C_6F_{13}] \cdot [PCl_5]$. At constant reaction volume and temperature, the reaction pressure in the vessel is proportional to the product of concentration of the reactants. From the experimental results (see Table 1, exp. 4, 6, 7, 8, and 9), it can be seen that increasing the products of [A] x [B] from 0.02, 0.16, 0.21, 0.54, to 0.69 (mole/liter)² or the reaction pressures from 60, 120, 150, 250, to 260 psi at the same reaction time and temperature, increased the yield of 2,2-dichloroperfluorooctane from 3, 55, 67, 80, to 92 percent, respectively. Therefore the product of the reactants concentration or the reaction pressure is an important factor for producing high yields.

Synthesis of Perfluoroalkyl Monoketones and Diketones

In our present study we have synthesized the perfluoroalkyl monoketones and diketones, by the <u>in-situ</u> reaction which we have previously reported [5] and summarized by equations 3 and 4.

$$\begin{bmatrix} R_{f}I + R_{f}^{\parallel}COR \end{bmatrix} + CH_{3}Li \xrightarrow{Et_{2}O} -78^{\circ}C \xrightarrow{i. H_{3}O^{+}} \stackrel{O}{ii. P_{2}O_{5}} R_{f}^{O}CR_{f}^{I}$$
(3)
$$R_{f} = C_{6}F_{13}, C_{8}F_{17}; R_{f}^{I} = CF_{3}, CF_{2}Cl, C_{3}F_{7}; R = CH_{3}, C_{2}H_{5}$$

 $\begin{bmatrix} 2R_{f}I + ROC(CF_{2})_{n}^{O}COR \end{bmatrix} + 2 CH_{3}Li \xrightarrow{Et_{2}O}{-78^{\circ}C} \xrightarrow{i. H_{3}O^{+}} R_{f}^{O}C(CF_{2})_{n}^{O}R_{f}^{R}$ $R_{f} = C_{2}F_{5}, C_{3}F_{7}; R = CH_{3}, C_{2}H_{5}; n = 2,3$ (4)

The lithium-iodine exchange reaction, producing the perfluoroalkyllithium intermediate, is much faster than the addition of methyllithium to the carbonyl group of the ester. The transient $R_{f}Li$ which is produced first, is unstable at -78°C, however it can be used as an intermediate if it is prepared by this in-situ process and allowed to react with a substrate (ester) immediately. This suggests that the rate of $R_{f}Li$ decomposition is slower than the reaction between R_fLi and the ester. This observation was noted previously by McBee and coworkers [9]. The characterization of perfluoroalkyl monoketones and diketones, is listed in Table 2. In our earlier studies [5,6] we have shown that highly fluorinated carbonyl compounds tend to retain water and form stable hydrate derivatives quite readily. The perfluoroalkyl monoketones and diketones prepared in this study likewise form the hydrate in air quite readily. These hydrates can easily be dehydrated by reaction with P_2O_5 at reflux temperature.

Synthesis of gem-dichloroperfluoroalkanes

As mentioned above, the gem-dichloroperfluoroalkanes were synthesized by the reaction between perfluoroalkyl monoketone and PCl₅ (see Table 1) in high yields (see Equation 5). The

$$R_{f}^{\parallel} CR_{f}^{\prime} + PCl_{5} \xrightarrow{A} R_{f}^{\prime} CCl_{2}R_{f}^{\prime} + POCl_{3}$$

$$R_{f}^{\prime} = CF_{3}, CF_{2}Cl, C_{3}F_{7}; R_{f}^{\prime} = C_{6}F_{13}, C_{8}F_{17}$$
(5)

optimum reaction conditions for maximum yield are as follows: concentration of monoketone, ~0.3 mole/liter, excess PCl_5 , ~3.0 moles/liter; pressure of vessel, ~260 psi; time of reaction, 2-3 days. Excess PCl_5 was recycled to be used for subsequent chlorinations. In most instances the isolated yields are more than 80 percent, except in the case of $C_3F_7CCl_2C_6F_{13}$ where the reaction conditions were 275°C, ~380 psi, and three days reaction time in order to reach the highest yield obtained of 55 percent.

^

2	
TABLE	

Characterization of Perfluoroalkyl Monoketones and Diketones

Compound	Isolated Yield (%)	b.p. (°C)	IR, ^b c=01 (cm ¹)	m.s. ^c	Combustion (Calc'd/Fou C	Data Ind) H
cF ₃ c(o)c ₆ F ₁₃ (n.c.)	72	26	1800	416(M ⁺)	<u>23.09</u> 22.92	0.09
cF ₃ c(o)c ₈ F ₁₇ (n.c.)	77	136	1799	516(M ⁺)	<u>23.27</u> 23.10	0.11
cF ₂ c1c(0)c ₆ F ₁₃ (n.c.)	89	121	1798	433,435(M ⁺)	22.21 ^a 22.08	0.11
c ₃ F7c(0)c ₆ F ₁₃ (n.c.)	80	137	1795	516(M ⁺)	<u>23.27</u> 23.14	0.08
$c_{2}F_{5}c(0) (cF_{2})_{3}c(0) c_{2}F_{5} (n.c.)$	72	111	1790	325(M-c ₂ F ₅) ⁺	23.34 23.20	0.04
$c_{3}F_{7}c(0) (cF_{2})_{3}c(0) c_{3}F_{7} (n.c.)$	75	73/35 mmHg	1790	375(m-c ₃ F ₇) ⁺ ,d	<mark>24.28</mark> 24.13	0.06
				$347 (C_3 F_7 C(0) (CF_2)_3)^+$		
$c_{2}F_{5}c(0)(cF_{2})_{2}c(0)c_{2}F_{5}$ (n.c.)	67	16	1790	394(M ⁺), ^d	<u>24.38</u> 24.26	0.08
				275(м-с ₂ ғ ₅) ⁺		
^a For Cl: Calculated, 8.20; fou	und, 8.01.					
^b Neat liquid, capillary film b	etween NaCl	plates.				
^C Determined by electron impact. the Table.	; the highe	st mass in th	e fragmer	tation for diketones ar	re reported i	۲,

d_Very weak peaks.

It is difficult at this time to provide an acceptable explanation of this anomaly. The IR spectra data and 19 F NMR chemical shifts of polychloroperfluoro compounds are shown in the experimental section. The characterization of these products is listed in Table 3.

Synthesis of C₂F₅CCl₂(CF₂)₃CCl₂C₂F₅

The R_fCCl₂(CF₂)_nCCl₂R_f were synthesized by the same procedure as for the $R_{f}CCl_{2}R_{f}^{i}$ except at higher reaction temperature (275°C) and longer reaction time (seven days). The yield of the desired product, tetrachloroperfluoroalkane, depends on the number of CF_2 groups (n). When n = 3, the linear fluorotetrachloroalkane, e.g. $C_2F_5CCl_2(CF_3)_3CCl_2C_2F_5$, is produced in reasonable yields (65 GC area percent); however, when n = 2, the principal product is the cyclic dichlorofluoroether compound (74 GC area percent) - see Scheme 2. This result is similar to the data described for the fluorination of HO2C(CH2)CO2H by sulfur tetrafluoride [7]. A possible mechanism for the formation of both linear and cyclic compounds is suggested in Scheme 2. When n = 2, the cyclic ether intermediate is quite stable at 275°C, however when n = 3, the linear ketone intermediate is more stable than the cyclic ether intermediate. The structure of the cyclic dichlorofluoroether compound was confirmed by MS and ¹⁹F NMR spectra and its infrared spectrum shows the absence of a carbonyl peak. In Figure 1 the mass spectrum of a linear dichlorofluoroketone, $C_2F_5C(0)(CF_2)_3CCl_2C_2F_5$ (compound A), obtained as a byproduct in the synthesis of the linear tetrachloroperfluoroalkane (see Table 3), is compared to the mass spectrum of the cyclic ether (compound B). The linear ketone has the fragments m/e = 201 $(C_2F_5CCl_2)^+$, 297 $(C_2F_5C(0)CF_2CF_2CF_2)^+$ and 351 $(M-C_2F_5C(0))^+$. If compound B were a linear ketone, comparable fragment peaks at $m/e = 201 (C_2F_5C(0))^+$, 247 $(C_2F_5C(0)CF_2CF_2)^+$ and 301

e	
TABLE	

Compounds
olychloroperfluoro
of I
Characterization

Vield, $\frac{1}{6}$ compoundYield, $\frac{1}{6}$ (GC area)M.S. $\frac{1}{6}$ (m/e)M.S. $\frac{1}{6}$ (m/e)M.S. $\frac{1}{6}$ (m/e)C $CF_3ccl_2c_Fr_1$ (n.c.) $81(92)$ 144 $401,403(M-CF_3)^+$ $20.40/20.26$ $64.55/66CF_3ccl_2c_Fr_1 (n.c.)84(92)95/30501,503(M-CF_3)^+21.03/20.7666.55/66CF_2ccl_2c_Fr_1 (n.c.)84(92)95/3051(92)51,453(M-C1)^+19.71/19.5258.47/5866.55/66C_2F_5ccl_2c_Fr_1(n.c.)82(92)81/30451,453(M-C1)^+19.71/19.5258.47/58C_2F_5ccl_2c_Fr_1(n.c.)82(92)81/30413,415(M-C1)^+19.71/19.5258.47/58Cl_1Cl_2F_5(CF_2)_2c2F_5(n.c.)74(74)139133,435,437_4413,445(M-C1)^+413,445(M-C1)^+19.52/19.4054.924/58Cl_1Cl_2Cl_2Cl_2Ccl_2c2F_5(n.c.)74(74)329,331(M-C2F_5)19.52/15.8929.47/28Cl_3Cl_2Cl_2(n.c.)85(93)35(m.p)349,435,437_4329,331(M-C2F_5)19.53/15.8929.47/28Cl_3Cl_2(n.c.)24,728329,331(M-C2F_5)19.52/15.9629.47/28Cl_3(n.c.)85(93)35(m.p)349,435,437_4329,331,476,47919.52/15.9629.47/28Cl_3(n.c.)85(93)35(m.p)139,412,41915.92/15.9619.77/2829.47/28Cl_3(n.c.)(n.c.)24(92)329,331,353(M-C1)^219.98/19.08<$						Combustion (Calc'd/Foun	Data nd)
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Compound	field, % [solated (GC area	, (c) bob	M.S.a (╖∕e)	υ	ц	IJ
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	cr ₃ cc1 ₂ c ₆ r ₁₃ (n.c.)	81(92)	144	401,403(M-CF ₃) ⁺	20.40/20.26	64.54/64.08	15.05/14.99
$Cr_{2}ClCcl_{2}C_{6}F_{13} (n.c.) = 82(92) = 81/30 451,453(m-Cl)^{+} 19.71/19.52 58.47/58 muHg \\ C_{3}F_{7}Ccl_{2}C_{6}F_{13} (n.c.) = 55(65) = 82/20 401,403(m-C_{3}F_{7})^{+}21.03/20.76 66.55/66 muHg \\ C_{2}F_{5}Ccl_{2}(Cr_{2})_{3}Ccl_{2}C_{2}F_{5} (n.c.)^{b} = 60(65) = 104/20 433,437, 435,437, 19.52/19.40 54.88/54 \\ muHg 439(m-C_{2}F_{5}) + 19.52/19.40 54.88/54 \\ C_{1}C_{2}C_{2}F_{5} (n.c.) = 74(74) = 139 413,415(m-C_{1})^{+} + 21.40/21.14 59.24/58 \\ Ccl_{3}(Cr_{2})_{3}Ccl_{3} (n.c.) = 85(93) 35(m.p.) = 349,331(m-C_{2}F_{5}) + 21.40/21.14 59.24/58 \\ Ccl_{3}Cr_{2}Cr_{2})_{3}Ccl_{3} (n.c.) = 85(93) 35(m.p.) = 349,331(m-C_{2}F_{5}) + 21.40/21.14 59.24/58 \\ Ccl_{3}Cr_{2}Cr_{2})_{2}Ccl_{3} (n.c.) = 85(93) 35(m.p.) = 349,331(m-C_{2}F_{5}) + 21.40/21.14 59.24/58 \\ Ccl_{3}Cr_{2}Cr_{2})_{2}Ccl_{3} (n.c.) = 85(93) 35(m.p.) = 349,331(m-C_{2}F_{5}) + 21.40/21.14 59.24/58 \\ Ccl_{3}Cr_{2}Cr_{2})_{2}Ccl_{3} (n.c.) = 85(93) 35(m.p.) = 349,331(m-C_{2}F_{5}) + 21.40/21.14 59.24/58 \\ Ccl_{3}Cr_{2}Cr_{2})_{2}Ccl_{3} (n.c.) = 85(93) 35(m.p.) = 349,351,353, 15.53/15.89 29.47/28 \\ Ccl_{3}Cr_{2}Cr_{2})_{2}Ccr(Cr_{3})Ccl_{3} (n.c.) = 24(51) mmHg \\ C_{3}F_{7}OCF(Cr_{3})CF_{2}OCF(Cr_{3})CCl_{3} (n.c.) = 24(51) mmHg \\ C_{3}F_{7}OCF(Cr_{3})CF_{2}OCF(Cr_{3})CCl_{3} (n.c.) = 24(51) mmHg \\ C_{3}F_{7}OCF(Cr_{3})CF(Cr_{3})CCl_{3} (n.c.) = 24(51) mmHg \\ C_{3}F_{7}OCF(Cr_{3})CF(Cr_{3})CCCl_{3} (n.c.) = 24(51) mmHg \\ C_{3}F_{7}OCF(Cr_{3})CF_{2}OCF(Cr_{3})CCl_{3} (n.c.) = 24(51) mmHg \\ C_{3}F_{7}OCF(Cr_{3})CF_{7}OCF(Cr_{3})CCCl_{3} (n.c.) = 24(51) mmHg \\ C_{3}F_{7}OCF(Cr_{3})CF(Cr_{3})CCF(Cr_{3})CCCl_{3} (n.c.) = 24(51) mmHg \\ C_{3}F_{7}OCF(Cr_{3})CF(Cr_{3})CCF(Cr_{3})CCCCl_{3} (n.c.) = 24(51) mmHg \\ C_{3}F_{7}OCF(Cr_{3})CF_{7}OCF(Cr_{3})CCCCCr_{3} (n.c.) = 24(51) mmHg \\ C_{3}F_{7}OCF(Cr_{3})CF(Cr_{3})CCF(Cr_{3})CCCCCF_{7} (n.c.) = 24(51) mmHg \\ C_{3}F_{7}OCF(Cr_{3})CCF(Cr_{3})CCCCF_{7} (n.c.) = 24(51) mmHg \\ C_{3}F_{7}OCF(Cr_{3})CCF(Cr_{3})CCCCF_{7} (n.c.) = 24(51) mmHg \\ C_{3}F_{7}OCF(Cr_{3})CCF(Cr_{3})CCCF_{7} (n.c.) = 24(51) mmHg \\ C_{3}F_{$	2F ₃ ccl ₂ c ₈ F ₁₇ (n.c.)	84 (92)	95/30 mmHg	501,503(M-CF ₃) ⁺	21.03/20.76	66.55/66.01	12.42/12.41
$ \begin{array}{c} C_{3}F_{7}CC1_{2}C_{6}F_{13} \ (n.c.) \\ C_{2}F_{5}CC1_{2} (CF_{2})_{3}CC1_{2}C_{2}F_{5} \ (n.c.)^{b} \ 60 \ (65) \ 104/20 \ 433, 435, 437_{4} \ 19.52/19.40 \ 54.88/54 \\ mmHg \ 439 \ (m-C_{2}F_{5}) \ 19.52/19.40 \ 54.88/54 \\ c1 \ 2^{F_{5}}C_{5} \ (cF_{2})_{3}CC1_{2}C_{2}F_{5} \ (n.c.) \ 74 \ (74) \ 139 \ 413, 415 \ (m-C1)^{+} \ 21.40/21.14 \ 59.24/58 \\ c1 \ (cC1_{3}(CF_{2})_{3}CC1_{3} \ (n.c.) \ 85 \ (93) \ 35 \ (m.p.) \ 355 \ (m-C1)^{+} \ 21.40/21.14 \ 59.24/58 \\ c1 \ c2^{F_{5}}C_{5} \ (cF_{2})_{3}CC1_{3} \ (n.c.) \ 24 \ (74) \ 139 \ 413, 415 \ (m-C1)^{+} \ 21.40/21.14 \ 59.24/58 \\ c1 \ c1 \ c2^{F_{5}}C_{5} \ (cF_{2})_{3}CC1_{3} \ (n.c.) \ 24 \ (74) \ 329, 331 \ (m-C_{2}F_{5}) \ 15.53/15.89 \ 29.47/28 \\ c1 \ c1 \ c2^{F_{5}}C_{5} \ (cF_{2})_{3}CC1_{3} \ (n.c.) \ 24 \ (74) \ 26 \ 335 \ (m-C1)^{+} \ (m-C1)^{$	cF2clccl2c6F13 (n.c.)	82 (92)	81/30 mmHg	451,453(M-Cl) ⁺	19.71/19.52	58.47/58.12	21.82/21.66
$\begin{split} c_2F_5 cc1_2 (cF_2)_3 cc1_2 c_2F_5 (n.c.)^b & 60(65) & 104/20 & 433, 435, 437_4 & 19.52/19.40 & 54.88/54 \\ & mHg & 439 (M-C_2F_5) \\ c_1 & c_1 & c_2 \\ c_2F_5 c'(cF_2)_2 \\ c_2F_5 c'(cF_2)_3 cc1_3 (n.c.) & 74(74) & 139 & 413, 415 (M-c1)^+ \\ s29, 331 (M-C_2F_5) \\ s56(M-c1) \\ (cc1_3 cF_2 cF_2)_2 o (n.c.) & 85(93) & 35(m.p.) & 349, 351, 353, & 15.53/15.89 & 29.47/28 \\ (cc1_3 cF_2 cF_2)_2 o (n.c.) & 64(92) & 76/2.4 & 415, 417, 419 & 15.92/15.96 & 33.57/33 \\ (cc1_3 cF_2 cF_2)_2 o (n.c.) & 24(51) & 88/30 & 533, 535 (M-c)^+ & 18.98/19.08 & 56.67/56 \\ \hline \\ mMHg & mMHg & (M-c1) \\ \hline \end{split}$	2 ₃ F7ccl2c6F13 (n.c.)	55 (65)	82/20 mmHg	401,403(M-C ₃ F ₇)	+21.03/20.76	66.55/66.08	12.42/12.10
$c_{2}F_{5}c_{(CF_{2})_{2}}c_{2}c_{2}F_{5}(n.c.) $ $74(74) 139 413,415(M-C1)^{+} + 21.40/21.14 59.24/58$ $c_{2}F_{5}c_{(CF_{2})_{3}}c_{2}c_{1}F_{5}(n.c.) $ $85(93) 35(m.p.) 349,351,353, 15.53/15.89 29.47/28$ $ccl_{3}(cF_{2})_{3}ccl_{3}(n.c.) $ $85(93) 35(m.p.) 349,351,353, 15.53/15.89 29.47/28$ $(ccl_{3}cF_{2}cF_{2}]_{2}o(n.c.) $ $64(92) 76/2.4 415,417,419 15.92/15.96 33.57/33$ $c_{3}F_{7}ocF(CF_{3})CCI_{3}(n.c.) $ $24(51) 88/30 533,535(M-C)^{+} 18.98/19.08 56.67/56$ $mmHq$	2 ₂ F5ccl ₂ (cF ₂) ₃ ccl ₂ c ₂ F ₅ (n.c.) ^b	60 (65)	104/20 mmHg	433,435,437 ₄ 439(M-C ₂ F ₅)	19.52/19.40	54.88/54.39	25.60/25.28
ccl ₃ (cr ₂) ₃ ccl ₃ (n.c.) 85(93) 35(m.p.) 349,351,353, 15.53/15.89 29.47/28 [ccl ₃ cr ₂ cr ₂] ₂ 0 (n.c.) 64(92) 76/2.4 415,417,419 15.92/15.96 33.57/33 mmHg (M-c1) (M-c1) 15.92/15.96 33.57/33 c ₃ r ₇ ocr(cr ₃)cr ₂ ocr(cr ₃)ccl ₃ (n.c.) 24(51) 88/30 533,535(M-c) ⁺ 18.98/19.08 56.67/56	$c_1 \sim c_1 \sim c_1$ $c_2 r_5 \sim c_2 r_5 (n.c.)$	74 (74)	139	413,415(M-C1) ⁺ 329,331(M-C ₂ F ₅)	+21.40/21.14	59.24/58.48	15.79/15.34
[ccl ₃ cF ₂ cF ₂] ₂ 0 (n.e.) 64(92) 76/2.4 415,417,419 15.92/15.96 33.57/33 mmHg (M-Cl) C ₃ F ₇ ocF(CF ₃)CF ₂ oCF(CF ₃)CCl ₃ (n.e.) 24(51) 88/30 533,535(M-C) ⁺ 18.98/19.08 56.67/56 mmHq	ccl ₃ (cF ₂) ₃ ccl ₃ (n.c.)	85(93)	35(m.p.)	349,351,353, 355(M-Cl)	15.53/15.89	29.47/28.89	55.00/54.71
c ₃ F7oCF(CF ₃)CF ₂ oCF(CF ₃)CCl ₃ (n.c.) 24(51) 88/30 533,535(M-C) ⁺ 18.98/19.08 56.67/56 mmHq	[ccl3cF2cF2]20 (n.c.)	64 (92)	76/2.4 mmHg	415,417,419 (M-Cl)	15.92/15.96	33.57/33.89	46.98/46.92
	c ₃ F ₇ ocF(cF ₃)cF ₂ ocF(cF ₃)ccl ₃ (n.c.)	24(51)	88/30 mmHq	533,535(M-C) ⁺	18.98/19.08	56.67/56.29	18.68/18.29
^a Determined by electron impact.	^a Determined by electron impact.						

^DBy-product $C_2F_5C(0) (CF_2)_3CC1_2C_2F_5$ (28 GC area percent), the chemical structure was identified by MS (see Figure 1, A) and IR spectrum $(V_{c=0}^{-} 1785 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ only.



Fig. 1. Mass spectra of compounds A - $C_2F_5C(0)(CF_2)_3CCl_2C_2F_5$ and B - $C_2F_5CC \underbrace{CF_2CF_2}_{CF_2CF_2}C \underbrace{C_2F_5}_{Cl}$



Scheme 2

(trans and cis)

 $(M-C_2F_5C(0))^+$ would be expected in the mass spectrum of compound B. The mass spectrum of compound B does not show these fragments. The peak at m/e = 147 is assigned to the fragment $(C_2F_4CC1)^+$ in the mass spectrum of compound B. The ratio of the relative intensity of the 147 to 149 peak is 3.7. This is close to the expected ratio of 3 for the relative natural abundance of $C1^{35}/C1^{37}$. Although the fragment $(C_2F_4CC1)^+$ might also be expected in the mass spectrum of compound A, the observed ratio of relative intensity of the 147 to 149 peak is 5.8 in the spectrum for compound A. The m/e = 147 peak is therefore assigned to $(C_2F_5C(0))^+$ in compound A. The presence of both cis and trans forms of the cyclic ether compound was confirmed by ¹⁹F NMR (see experimental section). However, the present results do not allow us to assign which peaks belong to which isomer (cis or trans). The characterization of these products is listed in Table 3.

Synthesis of R_fCCl₃ and Cl₃CR_fCCl₃

The perfluorotrichloro and hexachloroperfluoro compounds, e.g. $C_7F_{15}CCl_3$ and $Cl_3CCF_2CF_2Ccl_3$, had been synthesized from perfluoroalkyl iodides and anhydrous aluminum chloride in ~55 percent yield [10]. In the present study we have synthesized R_fCCl_3 and $Cl_3CR_fCCl_3$ compounds by procedures similar to those described for the gem-dichloroperfluoroalkanes (see Experimental Section). The reactions between perfluoro carbonyl-containing compounds and PCl₅ are shown in Equations 6 and 7. The yields by GC area percent are between 85 and 93 except in the case of n- $C_7F_{15}C(0)NH_2$ and $C_3F_7OCF(CF_3)CF_2OCF(CF_3)C(0)F$. The major products of the chlorination of a perfluoroalkyl amide,

$$R_{f} \stackrel{0}{\xrightarrow{H}} R_{f} \stackrel{0}{\xrightarrow{C}} R_{f} \stackrel{0}{\xrightarrow{C}} \frac{+PCl_{5}}{\xrightarrow{\Delta}} R_{f} CCl_{3}$$
(6)

$$R_{f} = n-C_{3}F_{7}, n-C_{7}F_{15}, C_{3}F_{7} OCF(CF_{3}) CF_{2} OCF(CF_{3})$$

$$X = Cl, F, OH, NH_{2}, ONH_{4}$$
(7)

$$R_{f} = -(CF_{2})_{3} - , -CF_{2}CF_{2} OCF_{2} CF_{2} - X = Cl, OH$$
(7)

e.g. $n-C_7F_{15}C(0)NH_2$, are $n-C_7F_{15}CCl_3$ (42 GC area percent) and $n-C_7F_{15}C = N$ (42 GC area percent). These results are consistent with previously reported studies on the reaction of PCl₅ with $CF_3C(0)NHCH_3$ [8]. The physical properties of $n-C_3F_7CCl_3$, $n-C_7F_{15}CCl_3$ and $n-C_7F_{15}C=N$ were compared to known samples and confirmed by GC/MS analysis.

The chlorination of $C_3F_7OCF(CF_3)CF_2OCF(CF_3)C(0)F$ yields the trichloro compound (at 250°C for seven days) only in 51 GC area percent. The CF_3 group present on the α -carbon to the carbonyl group provides steric hindrance to the chlorination and could account for the lower yields obtained.

Experimentally we have found that the best conditions for the chlorination of perfluorocarbonyl containing compounds are: (a) reaction temperatures of $250-275^{\circ}$ C; (b) high concentration of reactants (ketone >0.3 mole/liter, excess PCl₅ of >3 moles/ liter); (c) high reaction pressures of at least 300 psi; and (d) reaction times of three to seven days. In addition, reduction of electron density at the carbonyl group reduces the rate of chlorination of the perhalocarbonyl compounds.

EXPERIMENTAL

General Comments

All reactions were carried out in flame-dried 20 ml and 450 ml stainless steel high pressure reactors. The 20 ml reactor was a high pressure, lined reactor vessel-model LRA (LECO Corp., Bellefonte, PA). The 450 ml reactor was a 4562 mini reactor with 4842 controller (Parr Instrument Company, Moline, IL). The various perfluoro compounds used as starting materials were commercially available through PCR/SCM Specialty Chemicals, Gaines-ville, FL. The PCl₅ and CH₃Li were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Company, Inc., Milwaukee, WI. All new compounds were characterized by GC, IR, MS, ¹⁹F NMR and combustion analysis.

Infrared spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer Model 600 computing infrared spectrophotometer. Gas chromatographic analyses (GC) were performed on a Perkin-Elmer Sigma 1 chromatograph using a six-foot stainless steel column (1/4" o.d.) packed with 10 percent SE-30 on Chromosorb W. Mass spectra were obtained on a duPont Model 21-490 mass spectrometer used in the electron impact mode. ¹⁹F NMR spectra were recorded on an XL-100-15 spectrometer using CFCl₃ for reference in CDCl₃ solvent. All boiling points are uncorrected. All the perfluoroalkyl ketones and chlorofluoro compounds were prepared following typical procedures.

Typical Procedure for Preparation of Perfluoroalkyl Ketones

To a diethyl ether solution (1000 ml) of $n-C_{6}F_{13}I$ (55.4 g, 0.124 mol) and $CF_{3}C(0)OC_{2}H_{5}$ (16.8 g, 0.118 mol) was slowly added $CH_{3}Li$ (82.9 ml of 1.5 M diethyl ether solution, 0.124 mol) at -78°C over a period of 30 minutes. After an additional 30 minutes, the reaction mixture was hydrolyzed with conc. HCl (30 ml). The mixture was then poured into 2N HCl (600 ml), phase separated and dried over MgSO₄. Diethyl ether and low boiling compounds were removed with a compact distilling apparatus. Distillation of the crude product from phosphorus pentoxide (4 g) on a spinning band column gave $CF_{3}C(0)C_{6}F_{13}$, 35.2 g, 72 percent isolated yield. The yield, b.p., MS and analysis data of monoketones and diketones are listed in Table 2.

Typical Procedures for Preparation of Chlorofluoro Compounds

A mixture of $CF_3C(0)C_6F_{13}$ (49.0 g, 0.118 mol) and PCl_5 (250 g, 1.20 mol) was placed in a 450 ml stainless steel reactor under dry N₂ atmosphere. The reactor was heated at 250°C (±5°C). The pressure in the reactor was between 260 and 280 psi. After two days the reactor was cooled to room temperature and the

liquid part of the reaction mixture was hydrolyzed with crushed ice (~50 g) in an ice-water bath. The lower layer was withdrawn and washed with water (3x35 ml). The organic phase contained two liquid layers and a white solid. The results obtained by GC/MS analysis are as follows: bottom layer (50 g) - $CF_3CCl_2C_6F_{13}$ (93 percent), $CF_3C(0)C_6F_{13}$ (two percent), $C_2F_3Cl_3$ (one percent), $C_6F_{13}CCl_3$ (one percent), and C_2Cl_6 (two percent); top layer (4 g) - $CF_3CCl_2C_6F_{13}$ (45 percent), $CF_3C(0)C_6F_{13}$ (45 percent), $C_2F_3Cl_3$ (two percent), $C_6F_{13}CCl_3$ (two percent), and C_2Cl_6 (three percent); white solid (2 g) - C_2Cl_6 (96 percent), $CF_3CCl_2C_6F_{13}$ (one percent) and $CF_3C(0)C_6F_{13}$ (one percent). Excess PCl_5 in the reactor may be reused three additional times for additional chlorinations of the ketone following the above described procedure. The organic phases from the quadruple chlorinations were combined to give 205 g (92 GC area percent) of the desired product. Distillation of combined crude products yielded CF3CCl2C6F13 (179 g, 81 percent isolated). The yield, b.p., MS and analysis data of the new chlorofluoro compounds are summarized in Table 3.

IR Spectra

They were obtained as neat liquids, capillary film, between NaCl plates.

C-F stretching vibration: $1400-1100 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (vs) C-Cl stretching vibration: $1000-900 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (s)

¹⁹F NMR Spectra

¹⁹F NMR (282.31 MHz), chemical shifts (ppm), solvent CDCl₃, internal reference CFCl₃.

(a) <u>CF₃CCl₂C₆F₁₃</u>: -74.5 (septet, CF₃ next to CCl₂), -110.0, -117.2, -122.1, -123.0, -126.8 (multiplet, 5 CF₂), -81.7 (triplet, CF₃ next to CF₂).

- (b) $\frac{CF_3CCl_2C_8F_{17}}{-117.3}$; -74.6 (septet, CF_3 next to CCl_2), -110.1, -117.3, -122.1, -122.1, -122.5, -123.3, -126.9 (multiplet, 7 CF_2), -81.9 (triplet, CF_3 next to CF_2).
- (c) $\frac{CF_2ClCCl_2C_6F_{13}}{-107.7, -116.9, -122.1, -123.0, -126.7}$ (multiplet, 5 CF_2), -81.6 (triplet, CF₃ next to CF₂).
- (d) C₃F₇CCl₂C₆F₁₃: -81.8 (triplet, 2 CF₃), -108.3, -108.9, -116.5, -120.9, -122.1, -123.1, -126.8 (multiplet, 7 CF₂).
- (e) <u>C₂F₅CCl₂(CF₂)₃CCl₂C₂F₅</u>: -76.7 (singlet, 2 CF₃), -108.7 (broad, 2 CF₂), -111.3 (unresolved multiplet, CF₂), -112.6 (unresolved multiplet, 2 CF₂).
- (f) cis,trans- C_2F_5C $C_2F_2CF_2$ -78 percent of one isomer), -78.1 (singlet, 2 CF₃ -22 percent of the other isomer), -105, -117, -119, -121.5, -126.7 (doublet - half of AB pattern, 4 CF₂).
- (g) $\underline{\text{CCl}_3(\text{CF}_2)_3\text{CCl}_3}$: -109.8 (multiplet, middle CF₂), -110.0 (distorted triplet, 2 CF₂).
- (h) [CCl₃CF₂CF₂]₂0: -77.2 (singlet, 2 CF₂ next to oxygen), -113.6 (singlet, 2 CF₂ next to CCl₃).
- (i) $\frac{(CF_3)_2 CFOCF(CF_3) CF_2 OCF(CF_3) CCl_3}{doubled}$, CF_3 next to CCl_3), -80.4 (quartet-doubled, CF_3 next to CF_2), -81.5 (AB pattern nonequivalent F's, CF_2 O), -82.0 (doublet-doubled, 2 CF_3), -130.1 (multiplet, 2 CF), -145.6 (multiplet, CF).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the Air Force Wright Aeronautical Laboratories, Materials Laboratory, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio. The authors are pleased to acknowledge the contribution of Dr. Wallace S. Brey, Jr. of the University of Florida and Dr. Frank K. Schweighardt of Air Product and Chemicals, Inc. for the NMR data, and Dr. Chi Yu of the Technical Services Group of the Materials Laboratory for his mass spectral analysis. We also wish to thank Drs. Christ Tamborski and Kent J. Eisentraut for their helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

- 1 K. B. Wiberg, et al., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 83 (1961) 3998.
- 2 B. S. Farah and E. E. Gilbert, <u>J. Org. Chem.</u>, <u>30</u> (1965) 1241.
- 3 M. S. Newman and L. L. Wood, <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</u>, <u>81</u> (1959) 4300.
- 4 M. S. Newman, G. Fraenkel, and W. N. Kirn, <u>J. Org. Chem.</u>, <u>28</u> (1963) 1851.
- 5 L. S. Chen, G. J. Chen, and C. Tamborski, <u>J. Fluorine Chem.</u>, <u>26</u> (1984) 341.
- 6 L. S. Chen, A. V. Fratini, and C. Tamborski, <u>J. Fluorine</u> <u>Chem.</u>, <u>31</u> (1986) 381.
- 7 C. J. Wang, <u>Organic Reactions</u>, Chapter 2, Wiley, New York (1985) 337.
- 8 W. P. Norris and H. B. Jonassen, <u>J. Org. Chem.</u>, <u>27</u> (1962) 1449.
- 9 E. T. McBee, C. W. Roberts, S. G. Curtis, <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</u>, <u>77</u> (1955) 6387.
- 10 K. C. Eapen, K. J. Eisentraut, M. T. Ryan and C. Tamborski, J. Fluorine Chem., <u>31</u> (1986) 405.